## PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: John Fox

DOCKET NO.: 03-21294.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 05-27-417-008

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (hereinafter PTAB) are John Fox, the appellant, by attorney Jerrold H. Mayster in Chicago and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property consists of a 11,100 square foot parcel of land containing an 88-year old, two-story, frame, single-family dwelling. The improvement contains 3,200 square feet of living area, three and one-half baths, a fireplace, and a full, finished basement. The appellant, via counsel, argued that there was unequal treatment in the assessment process of the improvement as the basis of this appeal.

In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted assessment data and descriptions of seven properties suggested as comparable to the subject. Black and white photographs of the subject property and the suggested comparables, a map of the subject's neighborhood and a brief from the appellant's attorney were also submitted. The data in its entirety reflects that the properties are located within two blocks of the subject and are improved with a two-story, frame, stucco, masonry or frame and masonry, single-family dwelling with a partial or full basement with two finished. In addition, two properties contain air conditioning and five properties contain one or two fireplaces.

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the <u>Cook</u> County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 27,120 IMPR.: \$ 56,448 TOTAL: \$ 83,568

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/0600JBV

The improvements range; in baths from two to five and one-half; in age from 88 to 106 years; in size from 3,055 to 4,103 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$14.40 to \$15.48 per square foot of living area. Based upon this analysis, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's improvement assessment was \$56,488, or \$17.64 per square feet of living area. The board also submitted copies of the property characteristic printouts for the subject as well as three suggested comparables located within five blocks of the subject. The board's properties contain a two-story, frame, single-family dwelling with one and one-half, two and one-half or three baths, one or two fireplaces, and a partial or full, unfinished basement. In addition, one property contains air conditioning. The improvements range: in age from 93 to 101 years; in size from 3,130 to 3,595 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$17.95 to \$18.52 per square foot of living area. As a result of its analysis, the board requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After considering the evidence and reviewing the record, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

Appellants who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill. 2d 1, 544 N.E.2d 762 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent of inequities within assessment pattern assessment the jurisdiction. Proof of assessment inequity should include assessment data and documentation establishing the physical, locational, and jurisdictional similarities of the suggested comparables to the subject property. Property Tax Appeal Board Rule 1910.65(b). Mathematical equality in the assessment process is not required. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill. 2d 395, 169 N.E.2d 769 (1960). Having considered the evidence presented, the PTAB concludes that the appellant has not met this burden and that a reduction is not warranted.

The parties presented assessment data on a total of 11 equity comparables. The PTAB finds the appellant's comparables #1 and #2 and the board of review's comparable #1 are the most similar to the subject. These three comparables contain a two-story, frame or stucco, single-family dwelling located within one block of the subject. The improvements range: in age from 98 to 103 years; in size from 3,256 to 3,326 square feet of living area; and in

Docket No. 03-21294.001-R-1

improvement assessments from \$14.40 to \$18.52 per square foot of living area. In comparison, the subject's improvement assessment of \$17.64 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by these comparables. The PTAB accorded less weight to the remaining comparables due to a disparity in size.

As a result of this analysis, the PTAB further finds that the appellant has not adequately demonstrated that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and that a reduction is not warranted.

Docket No. 03-21294.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

## <u>CERTIFICATION</u>

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: February 29, 2008

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the

Docket No. 03-21294.001-R-1

session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.